**STATE OF WASHINGTON**

**[County You’re Filing In Here] COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| [YOUR NAME HERE],Petitioner,andSTATE OF WASHINGTON, EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DEPARTMENT,Respondent. | Case No.: **Petition for Review**Administrative Appeal**NO FILING FEE REQUIRED****RCW 50.32.110** |
|  |  |

Petitioner, [Your Name Here], petitions the court, pursuant to RCW 34.05.570 et seq. and RCW 50.32.160, for review of the decision of the Respondent, Employment Security Department (ESD), Commissioner’s Delegate [Name of Commissioner Here], entered against the Petitioner on [Date of Decision of Commissioner], Review No. [Review Number From Decision of Commissioner]. At issue is ESD’s decision to deny [Your Name Here] unemployment benefits pursuant to RCW [RCW in Decision of Commissioner that denies you here]. A copy of the agency’s final action is attached.

The parties involved in the adjudicative proceedings are Petitioner [Your Name Here], pro se; Respondent State of Washington’s Employment Security Department, represented by the Attorney General; and an interested party, [Your Former Employer Here (if applicable)].

Petitioner is entitled to relief pursuant to 34.05.570(3) because:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |  (a) The order, or the statute or rule on which the order is based, is in violation of constitutional provisions on its face or as applied; |
| \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | (b) The order is outside the statutory authority or jurisdiction of the agency conferred by any provision of law; |
| \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | (c) The agency has engaged in unlawful procedure or decision-making process, or has failed to follow a prescribed procedure; |
| \_\_\_X\_\_\_\_ | (d) The agency has erroneously interpreted or applied the law; |
| \_\_\_X\_\_\_\_ | (e) The order is not supported by evidence that is substantial when viewed in light of the whole record before the court, which includes the agency record for judicial review, supplemented by any additional evidence received by the court under this chapter; |
| **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** | (f) The agency has not decided all issues requiring resolution by the agency; |
| **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** | (g) A motion for disqualification under RCW 34.05.425 or 34.12.050 was made and was improperly denied or, if no motion was made, facts are shown to support the grant of such a motion that were not known and were not reasonably discoverable by the challenging party at the appropriate time for making such a motion;  |
| \_\_\_X\_\_\_ | (h) The order is inconsistent with a rule of the agency unless the agency explains the inconsistency by stating facts and reasons to demonstrate a rational basis for inconsistency; or |
| \_\_\_X\_\_\_ | (i) The order is arbitrary or capricious; |

Additionally, the petitioner has standing, has exhausted all available administrative remedies, and has timely filed this Petition for Review. Petitioner is entitled to relief because [Insert a sentence or two about why you disagree here. You can select different options above, this is just a sample].

Petitioner, also pursuant to RCW 35.05.510 et seq., asks that this matter be set for oral argument and that petitioner be allowed to submit a written brief prior to the time of the hearing.

WHEREFORE, petitioner asks for judgment:

1. Reversing respondent’s decision contained in ESD Review No. [Review Number From Decision of Commissioner].

2. Awarding any further relief this court deems proper.

Dated:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

[Your Name Here, Sign Above]